

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

2013-14 AND 2014-15 FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

VICROADS (ROADS CORPORATION)

SECTION A: Output variances and program outcomes

Question 1 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 2 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 3 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION B: Asset investment (departments only)

Question 4

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 5

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 6

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 8

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 9 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

SECTION B: Asset investment (non-departments only)

Question 10 -

Please provide the following details for any asset investment project where actual expenditure in 2013-14 or 2014-15 varied by ± 10 million or more from the initial budget estimate at the start of the relevant year (**not** the revised estimate).

If there were no asset investment projects for your agency where the actual expenditure varied by ± 10 million or more from the budget estimate, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant projects' in the table(s) below.

(a) in 2013-14

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2013-14 (2013-14 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2013-14	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2013-14 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2014-15 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
Metropolitan grade separations: Mitcham/Rooks Road and Springvale Road	282.5	242.0	Variance was due to project savings	mid 2017	Complete	Project completed ahead of schedule
VicRoads registration and licensing system (statewide)	51.2	26.2	Variance was due to project being paused during 2013-14. The project has been subsequently discontinued.	mid 2014	tbc	Variance was due to project being paused during 2013-14. The project has been subsequently discontinued.
M80 upgrade (metro various)	37.5	13.0	Variance due to alignment of State/Commonwealth funding.	mid 2015	qtr 2 2014-15	The 2013-14 Budget Paper date reflects financial completion, where as the 2014-15 Budget Paper date reflects practical completion.
Ballarat Western Link Road (Ballarat)	22.9	3.1	Variance due to delays gaining project approvals.	mid 2015	qtr 4 2014-15	N/A
Princes Highway West - Stage 1 Waurn Ponds to Winchelsea (non-metro various)	17.7	0.0	Variance was due to alignment of State/Commonwealth funding.	mid 2014	qtr 4 2014-15	The 2013-14 Budget Paper date reflects financial completion, where as the 2014-15 Budget Paper date reflects practical completion.

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2013-14 (2013-14 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2013-14 (\$ million)	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2013-14 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2014-15 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
Metro level crossing blitz program (metro various)	23.1	8.4	Variance due to delays in some preconstruction activities and deferral of the stabling facility decommissioning.	mid 2015	qtr 4 2014-15	N/A
Koo Wee Rup Bypass (Koo Wee Rup)	11.1	24.2	Variance due to acceleration of project works by contractor.	mid 2017	qtr 2 2015-16	The 2013-14 Budget Paper date reflects financial completion, where as the 2014-15 Budget Paper date reflects practical completion.
M80 upgrade - stage 1B Western Highway to Sunshine Avenue (metro various)	19.3	36.3	Variance due to acceleration of project works by contractor.	mid 2015	Complete	N/A
Princes Highway West - Stage 1 Waurn Ponds to Winchelsea (non-metro various)	12.3	30.1	Variance was due to alignment of State/Commonwealth funding.	mid 2016	qtr 4 2014-15	The 2013-14 Budget Paper date reflects financial completion, where as the 2014-15 Budget Paper date reflects practical completion.
Western Highway duplication - Ballarat to Stawell (non-metro various)	1.3	37.0	Variance was due to alignment of State/Commonwealth funding . 2013-14 budget was subsequently revised to \$41.1m. This is consistent with the 2014-15 Budget Paper 4 publication.	mid 2016	qtr 3 2015-16	The 2013-14 Budget Paper date reflects financial completion, where as the 2014-15 Budget Paper date reflects practical completion.

(b) in 2014-15

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2014-15 (2014-15 budget papers)	Actual expenditure in 2014-15	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2014-15 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2015-16 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
Metro Level Crossing Blitz program (metro various)	37.9	19.3	Variance due to pre-construction delays relating to various projects in this program, i.e. refinement of design and delays in selection of project options/sites.	qtr 4 2014-15	qtr 4 2016- 17	2014-15 publication only reflects planning and development works for 4 sites of level crossing removal and the 2015-16 publication reflects additional planning works required for an additional 6 sites.
Metro Level Crossing Blitz program · Blackburn Road level crossing removal (Blackburn) · Burke Road level crossing removal (Glen Iris) · North Road level crossing removal (Ormond)	34.4	15.8	Variance due to changes in the timing of project delivery, following the awarding of contracts and works originally planned as early works now included in main alliance contract.	qtr 4 2017-18	qtr 4 2016- 17	Change in completion dates for level crossing removal projects due to reprioritisation of works package.
East Werribee Employment Precinct preliminary infrastructure (East Werribee)	19.9	9.1	Variance due to changes in the treatment of the project following machinery of government changes, with the project transferring to VicRoads for delivery.	qtr 2 2015-16	qtr 2 2015- 16	N/A

Please detail the initial budget estimates (not the revised estimate) for 'purchases of non-financial assets' for 2013-14 and 2014-15 (or equivalent line items in the cash flow statements) for your entity, the actual amounts of those line item in your annual reports and an explanation for any variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million.

Initial budget estimate for 2013-14	Actual for 2013-14	Explanation for any variance greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million
(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
898.3	780.5	Under expenditure due to the rescheduling of works in a number of projects including the Metropolitan Level Crossing development & early works project, the Ballarat Western Link Road project, and the Mitcham Road and Rook Road Rail Separation project.

Initial budget estimate for 2014-15	Actual for 2014-15	Explanation for any variance greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million
(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
728.1	702.4	Under expenditure due to the rescheduling of works in a number of projects including the Metropolitan Level Crossing development & early works project, the Ballarat Western Link Road project, and the North Road Level Crossing Removal project partly offset by acceleration in a number of projects.

SECTION C: Revenue and appropriations

Question 12

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the prior year's actual result and the actual result for 2013-14 and 2014-15 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue impacted on service delivery.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2013-14 and 2014-15 expenditure varied from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2012-13 actual	2013-14 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Grants	4.8	16.4	Short term program grants (DTPLI), PenLink, Ringwood Station, EW Trail, NHVS	Delivery of funded programs
External works	51.4	60.9	Additional works undertaken on a fee for service basis	
Recoveries	37.8	28.7	Reduce insurance claims for fire and flood damage to VicRoads infrastructure	Repairs to flood damaged infrastructure

Revenue category	2013-14 actual	2014-15 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Grants	16.4	46.2	Increases in program grants from DEDJTR (15.7) National Heavy Vehicle grants (14.1)	Additional programs of works/ Improvements to National Heavy Vehicle register
External works	60.9	49.4	Reduced work undertaken for private enterprise (16.0), increased work undertaken for LGAs (4.8)	

Revenue category	2013-14 actual	2014-15 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
Recoveries	28.7	18.1	Reduce insurance claims for fire and flood damage to VicRoads infrastructure	No impact as the reduced revenue corresponds with lower expenditure on loss incurred during insurable events such as flood and fire

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised estimate) and the actual result for 2013-14 and 2014-15 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also identify any actions taken in response to the variations, either to mitigate or take advantage of the impact.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2013-14 and 2014-15 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2013-14 budget estimate	2013-14 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Actions taken in response
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Transport Accident Commission Grants	110.6	85.4	Under expenditure due principally to the timing of approval of new projects and rescheduling of works in projects in the Safer System Road Infrastructure Program.	Nil
Fair value of assets received free of charge	0	8.9	Road and Earthworks received free of charge.	Nil
Other revenue	117.9	163.4	Higher than planned value of works undertaken on a fee for service basis and additional insurance recoveries.	Nil

Revenue category	2014-15 budget estimate	2014-15 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Actions taken in response
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Transport Accident Commission Grants	128.1	105.6	Under expenditure due principally to the timing of approval of new projects and rescheduling of works in projects in the Safer System Road Infrastructure Program.	Nil
Fair value of assets received free of charge	0	15.3	Road and Earthworks received free of charge.	Nil
Other revenue	141.1	174.9	Higher than planned value of works undertaken on a fee for service basis and additional insurance recoveries.	Nil

Question 14 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 15 (departments only)

Regarding the trust accounts listed in the 'trust account balances' note to the financial statements in your entity's annual report, please identify any accounts from which payments were passed directly to other bodies without being counted in your entity's comprehensive operating statement. For each relevant account, please identify:

- (a) the value of payments;
- (b) the recipients of the payments; and
- (c) the purpose of the payments.

Trust account	Total payments from the account to bodies other than the Department, 2013-14	Recipient of the payment	Purpose of the payment
	(\$ million)		
N/A			

Trust account	Total payments from the account to bodies other than the Department, 2014-15	Recipient of the payment	Purpose of the payment
	(\$ million)		
N/A			

SECTION D: Expenses

Question 17

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the prior year's actual result and the actual result for 2013-14 and 2014-15 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community I achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

Expenses category	2012-13 actual	2013-14 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Employee benefits	251.5	225.3	Variation consistent with restructuring of staffing requirements and reducing costs in line with the Sustainable Government Initiative and Labour Sustainability Cap.	Consistent with reduction pertaining to the Sustainable Government Initiative and Labour Sustainability Cap.
Depreciation	545.4	562.8	N/A	
Supplies and Services	509.9	560.4	N/A	Delivery of approved program.
Grants and other transfers	69.4	89.0	Grants to National Heavy Vehicle Regulator.	Victorian support for the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) which now administers one set of laws for heavy vehicles under the Heavy Vehicle National Law (HVNL).
Capital asset charge	49.8	49.8	N/A	

That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Expenses category	2012-13 actual	2013-14 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Fair value of assets transferred to other entities	73.1	1.7	In 2013, expenses in relation to (i) revised declarations, with a series of arterial roads declared municipal roads, and (ii) enhancements to council assets in connection with VicRoads capital works.	
Total expenses from transactions	1,499.1	1,489.0	N/A	

Expenses category	2013-14 actual (\$ million)	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Employee benefits	225.3	210.2	N/A	
Depreciation	562.8	576.7	N/A	
Interest expense	0.0	14.9	First year managing leased road infrastructure previously recognised by the Linking Melbourne Authority (LMA)	Uninterrupted and toll free community access to the Peninsula Link / with net impact to State's accounts as this is an allocation from LMA.
Supplies and Services	560.4	632.5	Payments to contractors and suppliers based on approved VicRoads Program.	Delivery of approved programs.
Capital asset charge	49.8	49.8	N/A	
Grants and other Transfers	89.0	94.2	N/A	
Fair value of assets transferred to other entities	1.7	10.9	Net transfer of Peninsula Link assets from Linking Melbourne Authority (LMA) to VicRoads.	

II .	xpenses	1,489.0	1,589.2	N/A	
from					
transac	ctions				

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised budget) and the actual result for 2013-14 and 2014-15 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community² achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

Expenses category	2013-14 budget estimate	oudget actual \$100 million		Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses	
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)			
Grants and other transfers	69.2	89.0	Grants to National Heavy Vehicle Regulator	Victorian support for the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) which now administers one set of laws for heavy vehicles under the Heavy Vehicle National Law (HVNL).	

Expenses category	2014-15 budget estimate	2014-15 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Grants and other transfers	67.8	94.2	Grants to National Heavy Vehicle Regulator	Victorian support for the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) which now administers one set of laws for heavy vehicles under the Heavy Vehicle National Law (HVNL).

That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Question 19 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 20

Please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery as a result of expenditure reduction initiatives, e.g. changes to the timing and scope of specific programs or discontinued programs:

(a) in 2013-14

Services are delivered in line with initiatives outlined in the State Budget each year.

(b) in 2014-15

Services are delivered in line with initiatives outlined in the State Budget each year.

Question 21 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 22 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 23 (PNFC and PFC entities only)

SECTION E: Public sector workforce

Question 24

Please detail the total full-time equivalent number of staff in your department/agency as at 30 June 2013, 30 June 2014 and 30 June 2015 in each of the following bands of levels, and explain the changes:

Level	Total FTE (30 June 2013)	Total FTE (30 June 2014)	Total FTE (30 June 2015)	Explanation for changes
VPS Grades 1-3	1,239	1,162	1,128	Consistent with reduction pertaining to the Sustainable Government Initiative and Labour Sustainability Cap
VPS Grade 4	679	674	679	N/A
VPS Grades 5-6 and STS	537	531	534	N/A
EO	65	56	48	Consistent with reduction pertaining to the Sustainable Government Initiative and Labour Sustainability Cap
Other	-	-	-	
Total of all staff (including non-VPS grades)*	2,520	2,423	2,389	Consistent with reduction pertaining to the Sustainable Government Initiative and Labour Sustainability Cap

NB: Excludes staff on Leave without Pay and includes fixed term employees

In the table below, please detail the salary costs for 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual, and explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the years for each category.

Employment category	Gross salary 2012-13	Gross salary 2013-14	Gross salary 2014-15	Explanation for any year-on-year variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
Ongoing	252.9	217.8	218.4	Explanation for changes from 2012-13 to 2013-14
				Variation consistent with restructuring of staffing requirements and reducing cost.
Fixed-term	1.5	0.4	1.4	Explanation for changes from 2012-13 to 2013-14
				Variation consistent with restructuring of staffing requirements and reducing costs.
				Explanation for changes from 2013-14 to 2014-15
				An increase in fixed-term opportunities being advertised externally to enable flexibility and reduce agency cost.
Casual	0.0	0.0	0.0	Explanation for changes from 2012-13 to 2013-14
				Completion of casual employment arrangement.
Total	254.4	218.2	219.8	

Please detail the number of executives who received increases in their base remuneration in 2013-14 and 2014-15, breaking that information down according to what proportion of their salary the increase was, and explaining the reasons for executives' salaries increasing in each bracket.

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2013-14	Reasons for these increases
0-3 per cent	63	Performance Review Annual Adjustment to Executive Remuneration
3-5 per cent		
5-10 per cent		
10-15 per cent		
greater than 15 per cent	1	Promotion

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2014-15	Reasons for these increases
0-3 per cent	46	Performance Review Annual Adjustment to Executive Remuneration Promotion Increased Responsibilities
3-5 per cent	2	Performance Review Promotion

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2014-15	Reasons for these increases
5-10 per cent	3	Performance Review Promotion
10-15 per cent		
greater than 15 per cent	1	Promotion

SECTION F: Inter-sector flows

Question 27 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION G: Government decisions impacting on the finances

Question 28

- (a) Please detail any costs incurred during 2014-15 in the following categories as a result of machinery-of-government changes: Not applicable
- (b) If these costs were met out of existing budgets, please indicate what projects, programs or areas the money was originally budgeted for.
- (c) Please identify any benefits achieved during 2014-15 as a result of machinery-of-government changes, quantifying the benefits where possible.

Please identify any Commonwealth Government decisions during 2013-14 or 2014-15 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to funding levels). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact in	າ 2013-14	Impact in	n 2014-15
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
Refer to DTPLI/DEDJTR Response				

Question 30

Please identify any COAG decisions during 2013-14 or 2014-15 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to agreements). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

COAG decision	Impact in 2013-14		Impact in 2014-15	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
Refer to DTPLI/DEDJTR Response				

SECTION H: Fiscal and financial management strategies (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

Question 31

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 32

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION I: Economic environment

Question 33 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 34 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 35 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 36 (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

Please identify any key economic variables for which there were variances in 2013-14 and 2014-15 between what was estimated in the initial budget for each year (not the revised estimate) and what actually occurred which had a significant impact on your department's/agency's finances, service delivery or asset investment. For each variance, please indicate:

- (a) what had been expected at budget time
- (b) what actually occurred
- (c) how the variance impacted on the budget outcomes (quantifying the impact where possible)
- (d) what decisions were made in response (including changes to service delivery, asset investment, borrowings etc.).

Expected economic result in 2013-14	Actual result in 2013-14	Impact of the variance on budget outcomes	Decisions made in response
		Economic variables did not significantly impact on VicRoads' finances, service delivery or asset investment	

Expected economic result in 2014-15	Actual result in 2014-15	Impact of the variance on budget outcomes	Impact of the variance on service delivery	

SECTION J: Previous recommendations

Question 38 (departments only)